



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                               | FILING DATE     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.             | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|---|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/390,625                                    | 09/07/1999      | BROCK WALKER         | PM-223529                       | 7261             |  |
| 7   | 7590 04/15/2003 |                      |                                 |                  |  |
| Peter W Gowdey                                |                 |                      | EXAMINER                        |                  |  |
| Shook Hardy & Bacon LLP<br>600 14th Street NW |                 |                      | BROWN, MICHAEL A                |                  |  |
| Suite 800<br>Washington, DC 20005-2004        |                 |                      | ART UNIT                        | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
|   |                 |                      | 3764<br>DATE MAILED: 04/15/2003 | 16               |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   | ,   | <b>50</b> <del>/</del>   | _ |
|---|---|--|---|
|   | Application No.   | Applicant(s)   |   |
| Advisory Action   | 08/390,625  | Brock Walker   |   |
| Advisory Action   | Examiner  | Art Unit   |   |
|   | Michael Brown   | 3764   |   |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe   | ears on the cover sheet with the  | correspondence address   |   |
| THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP<br>Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a<br>final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: (<br>condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe<br>Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.   | 1) a timely filed amendment wh  | cation. A proper reply to a ich places the application in                                      |   |
|   | EPLY [check either a) or b)]  |  |   |
| a) The period for reply expires months from the mailing   |   |  |   |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions. | nan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date on FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH                           | of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP  136(a) and the appropriate extension fee |   |
| 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three most patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  | d statutory period for reply originally set in<br>onths after the mailing date of the final rej | the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in ection, even if timely filed, may reduce any   |   |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF   |   |  |   |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by   | ecause:   |  |   |
| (a) \( \square\) they raise new issues that would require furth   | er consideration and/or search  | (see NOTE below);  |   |
| (b)  they raise the issue of new matter (see Note   | below);   |  |   |
| <ul><li>(c) they are not deemed to place the application<br/>issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>  | in better form for appeal by ma   | terially reducing or simplifying the   |   |
| (d) they present additional claims without cance NOTE:  | ling a corresponding number of  | finally rejected claims.   |   |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject  | ction(s):   |  |   |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would panceling the non-allowable claim(s).   | d be allowable if submitted in a  | separate, timely filed amendment   |   |
| 5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because:  | preconsideration has been con<br><u>ห่า</u> ง 2१-३० พ.ศ.ษ <i>fi</i>                             | sidered but does NOT place the   |   |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  |   | •  |   |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w   |   |  |   |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows   |   |  |   |
| Claim(s) allowed:   |   |  |   |
| Claim(s) objected to:   |   |  |   |
| Claim(s) rejected:  |   |  |   |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  |   |  |   |
| 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is  | s a)□ approved or b)□ disap   |  |   |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme  10. Other:   | ent(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).  | Michael A. Brown   |   |
|   |   | Primary Examiner   |   |

**Advisory Action**